

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 09/233,694 01/19/99 **BRENNEN** R 5000-0016 **EXAMINER** IM22/0922 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY YOUNG, C LEGAL DEPARTMENT **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 3000 HANOVER STREET MS 20BO 1756 PALO ALTO CA 94304 **DATE MAILED:** 09/22/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary	Application No. Ap	Brennen	
	Examiner /Oung	Group Art Unit	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet bene	ath the correspondence address-	
Period for Response	_		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	SET TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) day If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by a Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response w 	vs, a response within the statutory melefault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror	ninimum of thirty (30) days will be considered time the mailing date of this communication.	
Status	-1		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/2	29/10	•	
This action is FINAL.			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19		tion as to the merits is closed in	
Disposition of Claims			
✓ Claim(s) 1-78		is/are pending in the application. + 70-76 is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
Of the above claim(s) /- 22, 28, 47	51 + 70-76	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
□ Claim(s) 23-27, 29-44, 48-50; 52	-69 +77-78	is/are rejected.	
		•	
• •			
□ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election requirement.	
Application Papers		·	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	*		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	• •	isapproved.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection	ected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Driority under 25 H C C & 110 (a)_(d)			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)			
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. 	of the priority documents have		
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num 	of the priority documents have	•	
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num □ received in this national stage application from the Interest of the content of	of the priority documents have ber)	1 7.2(a)).	
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num 	of the priority documents have ber)	1 7.2(a)).	
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num □ received in this national stage application from the Interest *Certified copies not received: 	of the priority documents have ber)	1 7.2(a)).	
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num □ received in this national stage application from the Interest *Certified copies not received: 	of the priority documents have ber)ternational Bureau (PCT Rule	1 7.2(a)).	
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num □ received in this national stage application from the In *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	of the priority documents have ber)nternational Bureau (PCT Rule No(s)	1 7.2(a)).	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

Part of Paper No._

Serial No. 09/233,694

Art Unit 1756

- 1. This Office action is responsive to the amendment (Paper No. 6) filed August 29, 2000 wherein claims 23 and 24 were amended and claims 77 and 78 were added.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 23-27, 29-46, 48-50, 52-69 and 77-78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over either one of Swedberg et al. or Kaltenbach et al.

The discussion in the remarks of the amendment explaining why the scope of the protection sought is patentable over the applied prior art of record has been carefully considered but is not deemed to be persuasive for the reasons of record as set forth in paragraph 4 of the last Office action in combination with the following remarks.

The comments presented by the Examiner in the previous Office action when stating the rejection of record are proper and have merit for a situation such as this. Since the Examiner does not have laboratory facilities with which to measure the surface roughness and corresponding surface area of the substrates of the prior art, it is incumbent upon applicants to rebut the Examiner's allegations of inherency based on Swedberg et al. or Kaltenbach et al. with comparative evidence showing that the teachings of the prior art relied upon by the Examiner do not

Serial No. 09/233,694

Art Unit 1756

anticipate and/or render the scope of the protection sought <u>prima</u> <u>facie</u> obvious as alleged. The same or similar composition ingredients and substrates are being processed with the same or similar processing steps in the same or similar manner and as such, the Examiner is entitled to make a combination rejection of anticipation and <u>prima facie</u> obviousness because it is unclear what, if any, differences exist between the prior art of record and the scope of the protection sought as claimed in the instant application.

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a). The practice of automatically extending the shortened statutory period an additional month upon the filing of a timely first response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Art Unit 1756

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Young, whose telephone number is (703) 308-2984. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff, can be reached on (703) 308-2464. The fax telephone number for this group is (703) 305-3599.

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

Christopher G./Y Primary Examine:

Art Unit 175

C. Young:cdc

September 21, 2000